Pathways to self-harmful behaviors in young women with and without ADHD: A longitudinal examination of mediating factors
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Background: Rates of suicide attempts and nonsuicidal self-injury ([NSSI]; e.g., cutting, burning) peak in adolescence and early adulthood; females and individuals with psychiatric symptoms and diagnoses appear to be at particular risk. Hinshaw et al. [Journal of Consulting and Clinical Psychology, (2012), 80, 1041] reported that young women with histories of childhood ADHD diagnoses reported higher rates of suicide attempts and NSSI than nondiagnosed, comparison women. Methods: Via analyses of an ongoing longitudinal investigation, our aims are to examine, with respect to both aspects of self-harmful behavior, (a) ADHD subtype differences and effects of diagnostic persistence (vs. transient and nondiagnosed classifications) and (b) potential mediating effects of impulsivity and comorbid psychopathology, ascertained during adolescence. Results: Young adult women with a childhood diagnosis of ADHD-Combined type were at highest risk for suicide attempts as well as the most varied and severe forms of NSSI compared with those with ADHD-Inattentive type and those in the comparison group; participants with a persistent ADHD diagnosis were at higher risk than those with a transient diagnosis or those never meeting criteria for ADHD. Mediator analyses revealed that, during adolescence, an objective measure of impulsivity plus comorbid externalizing symptoms were simultaneous, partial mediators of the childhood ADHD-young adult NSSI linkage. Adolescent internalizing symptoms emerged as a partial mediator of the childhood ADHD-young adult suicide attempt linkage. Conclusions: ADHD in females, especially when featuring childhood impulsivity and especially with persistent symptomatology, carries high risk for self-harm. Psychiatric comorbidity and response inhibition are important mediators of this clinically important longitudinal association. We discuss limitations and implications for prevention and intervention. Keywords: Attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder, self-harm, self-injury, adulthood, comorbidity.

Introduction
Prospective research has revealed impairments for boys with attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder (ADHD) that persist into adolescence and adulthood (e.g., Barkley, Murphy, & Fischer, 2008; Klein et al., 2012). Yet far less is known about adolescent and adult outcomes among girls. Accumulating evidence reveals that they are also at increased risk for persistent ADHD symptoms, comorbidities, and major life impairments (Babinski et al., 2011; Biederman et al., 2010; Hinshaw, Owens, Sami, & Fargeon, 2006; Hinshaw et al., 2012; Mannuzza & Klein, 2000). Yet there is surprisingly little research on the association between ADHD and self-harmful actions, defined as behaviors that reflect (a) an attempt to die (i.e., suicide attempts) or (b) a deliberate and direct destruction of one’s body tissue without reported suicidal intent [i.e., nonsuicidal self-injury (NSSI)]. Self-harmful behaviors are a significant public-health concern (Nock, 2012). We focus on (a) careful analysis of the prospective, longitudinal links of childhood ADHD with young-adult suicidal behavior and NSSI and (b) potential childhood risk factors and adolescent mediators of this association, including impulsivity, comorbidity, and diagnostic persistence of ADHD over time.

Rates of suicide attempts in adolescence and early adulthood are distressingly high. Suicide is the third leading cause of death among 15–24 year-olds and the second highest among college students (Centers for Disease Control & Prevention, 2006). In a key synthesis of worldwide age trends in self-harm, Nock et al. (2008) found escalating rates of suicide attempts through the age range of the 20’s. In terms of NSSI, rates in community (15%–25%; Ross & Heath, 2002) and clinically referred samples (21%–61%; Darche, 1990) are distressingly high.

Both suicide attempts and NSSI are heterogeneous and overdetermined (Prinstein, 2008). The developmental stage of adolescence, preceded by the biological changes related to puberty, represents a particularly vulnerable period, marked by high levels of emotional distress, increased risk-taking behaviors, and heightened interpersonal stress (Brausch & Gutierrez, 2010; Goldston et al., 2009; Lloyd-Richardson, 2008; Nock, 2009). During this period, changes in sensation seeking and impulse-control problems are distinguishable from those related to mood and distress (see Dahl, 2004). Risk is particularly high for individuals with increased emotionality and decreased inhibitions related to psychiatric diagnoses (e.g., mood and impulse-control problems, alcohol/substance use, and multiple concurrent
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psychiatric diagnoses). Adolescence and early adulthood are an exceptionally vulnerable time period for girls and young women (Guerry & Prinstein, 2010; Ross & Heath, 2002).

Prinstein (2008) defined NSSI as self-harmful behaviors that are widely considered to be socially unacceptable (as opposed to piercing and tattooing) and lacking suicidal intent, whereas suicide attempts involve an explicit desire to die. Yet ambivalence may be normative regarding such intentions (Rodham, Hawton, & Evans, 2004). Indeed, NSSI and suicide attempts often co-occur, either concurrently or sequentially: 50%–75% of adolescents with a history of NSSI make a suicide attempt at some point (Joiner, 2005; Nock, Joiner, Gordon, Lloyd-Richardson, & Prinstein, 2006). Still, compared with adolescents engaging in NSSI alone, those engaging in NSSI with at least one suicide attempt (a) report a longer history of NSSI, (b) use more varied methods of NSSI, (c) have less perceived parental support and lower self-esteem, and (d) are likely to meet criteria for major depression and/or conduct disorder (see Brausch & Gutierrez, 2010).

There are three key reasons to believe that young adults with histories of ADHD may be at particularly high risk for NSSI and suicide attempts. First, impulsivity – a cardinal symptom of ADHD – is a common risk factor for and correlate of suicidal behavior and NSSI (Gvion & Apter, 2011). Impulsivity is a definitional criterion for the Combined type of ADHD, or ADHD-C (American Psychiatric Association, 2000).

Salient aspects of impulsivity include increased urgency (e.g., tendency to act impulsively when experiencing negative affect) and lack of premeditation (e.g., failure to reflect on consequences of an act before engaging in that act; see Miller, Derevensky, Lynam, Milich, & Fillmore, 2010). Second, individuals with ADHD demonstrate poor performance on laboratory inhibitory control tasks (Hinshaw, Carter, Sami, Treutling, & Zupan, 2002; Lijffijt, Kenemans, Verbaeten, & van Engeland, 2005; O’Brien, Dowell, Mostofsky, Denckla, & Mahone, 2010). Third, the impulsivity characterizing ADHD contributes to pathological eating behaviors (Mikami & Hinshaw, 2008), antisocial behavior (Colledge & Blair, 2001; Mathias et al., 2007), alcohol consumption (Weafer, Milich, & Fillmore, 2011), and low social competence (Miller & Hinshaw, 2010). Thus, deficits in this area may render an adolescent particularly one with ADHD – vulnerable to self-harmful behaviors through both direct and indirect (e.g., comorbidity; social rejection) pathways.

Regarding comorbidity, internalizing problems (e.g., depression, anxiety) are concurrently and prospectively associated with both suicide attempts and NSSI (e.g., Brausch & Gutierrez, 2010; Goldston et al., 2009; Gould et al., 1998; Guerry & Prinstein, 2010; Hilt, Cha, & Nolen-Hoeksema, 2008). Beginning in adolescence, girls are at particular risk for internalizing problems (Hankin et al., 1998; Twenge & Nolen-Hoeksema, 2002). Yet the combination of an externalizing disorder, such as conduct disorder, and an internalizing disorder, such as major depression, is uniquely associated with suicide attempts and NSSI, beyond other individual and comorbid diagnostic profiles (Goldston et al., 2009; Kovacs, Goldston, & Gatsonis, 1993). Nock et al. (2006) found that more than half of an inpatient sample of adolescents with a recent history of NSSI met criteria for both an internalizing and an externalizing disorder. Girls with ADHD are far more likely than nondiagnosed peers to maintain or develop internalizing and/or externalizing disorders in adolescence (Biederman et al., 2008, 2010; Hinshaw et al., 2006, 2012), amplifying the risk for suicide attempts and NSSI in this population.

In terms of suicide attempts, Barkley et al. (2008) found that severity of childhood hyperactivity and number of CD symptoms in adolescence emerged as marginally significant mediators of suicide attempts among young adults with ADHD. In a longitudinal study of a predominantly male sample, children with ADHD-C or ADHD-HI (predominately hyperactive-impulsive subtype), but not ADHD-I (predominantly inattentive subtype), were at greater risk for adolescent suicide attempts than a comparison sample (Chronis-Tuscano et al., 2010). Crucially, girls with childhood-diagnosed ADHD (n = 18) were at far greater risk for depression and suicide attempts than boys. A key follow-up paper reported that the relation between ADHD and suicidal behavior was mediated by poor emotion regulation (Seymour et al., 2012). Replication of these findings is needed in larger samples.

Hinshaw et al. (2012) presented findings from a prospective follow-up of girls with and without ADHD. Young women with a childhood diagnosis had higher rates of psychiatric symptoms and functional impairments by early adulthood than the comparison group, despite stringent control of age, IQ, demographics, comorbidities, medication status and despite desistance from formal ADHD in many instances. Crucially, those with a childhood diagnosis of ADHD-C had significantly higher reports of NSSI frequency and suicide attempts than those with ADHD-I or comparisons.

We aim to pursue these clinically and conceptually significant findings in greater depth. First, because impulsivity is particularly relevant for ADHD-Combined type, we hypothesize that salient aspects of impulsivity, such as increased urgency, lack of premeditation, and poor inhibitory control, may render girls with ADHD-C more likely to indiscriminately use any easily available means of self-harm. Therefore, we predict that young women with childhood-diagnosed ADHD-C will have the most varied and severe forms of NSSI, followed by participants with ADHD-I and the comparison group respectively. Second, compared to those with a transient ADHD diagnosis and individuals never meeting criteria for ADHD, young women with persistent ADHD will
show the highest risk for suicide attempts and all aspects of NSSI. Third, the prospective, longitudinal nature of this investigation affords examination of pathways between childhood ADHD diagnosis (Wave 1), candidate mediators in adolescence (Wave 2), and NSSI severity and suicide attempts in young adulthood (Wave 3). We examine three individual candidate mediators (impulsivity, internalizing comorbidity, and externalizing comorbidity) and build more complex models in instances with two or more significant mediators.

Method
Participants
The initial recruitment process, featuring girls referred from schools, pediatricians, and clinics as well as via direct advertisements, involved a multigated screening and diagnostic procedure to ascertain participants, aged 6–12 years (M = 9.1 years), comprising a carefully diagnosed ADHD group and a comparison sample matched on age and ethnicity. The final sample included 140 girls with ADHD (ADHD-C = 93, ADHD-I = 47) and 88 comparison girls (see Hinshaw, 2002, for details).

Final inclusion criteria for the clinical group required participants to meet full DSM-IV diagnostic criteria for ADHD via the Diagnostic Interview Schedule for Children (4th edn, DISC-IV; Shaffer, Fisher, Lucas, Dulcan, & Schwab-Stone, 2000). Common comorbidities were permitted [e.g., major depressive disorder (MDD), anxiety disorders, learning disorders, oppositional defiant disorder (ODD), and conduct disorder (CD)]. Comparison girls could not meet diagnostic criteria for ADHD. Exclusion criteria for all participants were psychosis or overt neurological disorder, mental retardation, pervasive developmental disorder, lack of English spoken at home, or any medical problems that prevented participation in the summer camp. All girls participated in research summer programs.

The sample represented diverse socioeconomic and ethnic backgrounds (53% White, 27% African-American, 11% Latina, 9% Asian-American). The average family income was $50,000 to $60,000, with 13.6% receiving public assistance. On average, mothers had completed ‘some college.’ There were no ADHD versus comparison group differences for any demographic variables. Participants were then invited for a 5-year follow-up (Wave 2; M age = 14.2 years; 92% retention) and a 10-year follow-up (Wave 3; M age = 19.6 years; 95% retention). Details are found in Hinshaw et al. (2006, 2012). At each wave, girls and parents completed informed consent and/or assent procedures prior to participation. All waves of data collection received full approval from the UC Berkeley Committee for Protection of Human Subjects.

Measures
At each wave, highly trained bachelor-level research assistants and doctoral students performed assessments. Staff members changed at each wave of data collection; staff members during Wave 2 and Wave 3 were not informed of participants’ Wave 1 diagnostic status.

Independent variable: childhood (Wave 1) ADHD diagnosis
The DISC-IV is a well-validated, highly structured diagnostic interview. At Wave 1, on the parent-completed version, girls in the clinical group met full criteria for either ADHD-C or ADHD-I. Independent Variable: Persistence or Remission of ADHD Diagnosis.

We created a dummy variable to reflect remission or persistence of ADHD diagnosis from Wave 1 to Wave 3. Wave 1 diagnosis was established via the guidelines described above; at Wave 3, we used both parent and youth report from the DISC-IV to establish the presence of ADHD via the ‘or’ criterion, symptom by symptom (Piacentini, Cohen, & Cohen, 1992). Young women who did not meet ADHD criteria at Wave 1 or Wave 3 were coded as 0; those who met ADHD criteria at Wave 1 or Wave 3 were coded as 1 (transient ADHD); and those who met ADHD criteria at Wave 1 and Wave 3 were coded as 2 (persistent ADHD).

Wave 3 criterion variables
Nonsuicidal self-injury (NSSI). We administered the Self-Injury Questionnaire (SIQ), an interviewer-administered measure based on a modification of Claes, Vandereycken, and Vertommen’s (2001) SIQ. Validity and reliability within samples of individuals with eating disorders are available (Vanderlinden & Vandereycken, 1997). Participants were asked whether, in the past year, they deliberately injured themselves using six different methods. When a behavior was endorsed, respondents were also asked about frequency (1 = only once; 6 = a couple times a day).

We analyzed three scores: (1) variety (0–6), based on the number of methods tried; (2) frequency, an average frequency score across the 6 items; and (3) severity (0–3), informed by a lethality continuum of potential tissue damage postulated by Skegg (2005) and confirmed by factor analysis. We created a 4-point, ordinal severity score based on the highest level of severity endorsed on the SIQ. A score of 0 = nonendorsement of any NSSI behaviors; 1 = endorsement of low-severity NSSI methods (‘constantly pick at scabs until they scar’ and/or ‘pull or play with your hair so much that it comes out’); 2 = endorsement of the mild-to-moderate severity NSSI methods: ‘cut, scratch, or poke skin with pins or other sharp objects until you bleed/scar on purpose,’ ‘cut words, shapes or initials into your skin,’ ‘hit yourself so hard or so frequently on purpose to the point of bruising’; and 3 = endorsement of the highest level of severity on this scale: ‘burn yourself on purpose.’ All 11 young women with a score of 3 also endorsed between two and five lower-severity NSSI methods.

Number of suicide attempts. Suicide attempts were queried via the Barkley Suicide Questionnaire and Family Information Packet (FIP). The former (Barkley & Murphy, 2006) is a self-report questionnaire with three yes/no items: (e.g., ‘Have you ever considered suicide?’ ‘Have you ever attempted suicide?,’ and ‘Have you ever been hospitalized for an attempt?’). A positive endorsement to any question is followed up with a frequency question (e.g., ‘How many times?’). We analyzed the dichotomous suicide attempts item. The FIP, completed by the primary caregiver (sometimes with assistance by the young adult), inquired about a number of life events, including suicide attempts, between Wave 2 and Wave 3. In the single case for which the FIP yielded an attempt but the Barkley scale did not, the individual was counted as positive for attempted suicide.

Wave 2 hypothesized mediators
Swanson, Nolan, and Pelham rating scale – 4th edition (SNAP-IV; Swanson, 1992). The SNAP is an informant-report checklist of the nine DSM-IV items for inattention, the six items for hyperactivity, and the three items for impulsivity, with each scored on a 0 (not at all) to 3 (very much) metric. The SNAP-IV is used extensively in ADHD treatment and assessment research (e.g., MTA Cooperative Group, 1999). It has
good internal consistency and test-retest reliability. Mean parent and teacher scores for the three impulsivity items were used (or individual scores if only one informant report was available), employing the ‘or’ rule.

Conners’ continuous performance task (CPT; Conners, 1995). The CPT is a 14-minute computerized visual task for which participants press the spacebar when a target letter appears on the screen (all letters except ‘X’) and avoid pressing the spacebar when they see the letter ‘X’. Failing to inhibit the bar-pressing response to the letter ‘X’ is considered an error of commission. Percentage of commission errors is a commonly used measure of behavioral impulsivity (Janis & Nock, 2009; McGee, Clark, & Symons, 2000); girls with ADHD exhibit higher percentages of commission errors than comparisons, with effect sizes in the medium range (Hinshaw, Carte, Fan, Jassy, & Owens, 2007; Hinshaw et al., 2002). We reverse-scored this variable such that higher scores signified less impulsive performance.

Cancel underline task (CUL). The CUL is a modified version of the Underlining Task (see Rourke & Orr, 1977). It measures inhibitory control and rapid, accurate visual discrimination. Participants were asked to underline targets (shape or consonant sequences) and cancel nontargets (ratio of 1:5). Scores are derived from correct minus incorrect responses (Nigg, Hinshaw, Carte, & Treuting, 1998). Individuals with ADHD make more errors than do comparisons (e.g., Hinshaw et al., 2002, 2007; Nigg et al., 1998). We analyzed CUL-shapes and CUL-consonant scores separately.

Internalizing symptoms. We created a composite score to form a continuous measure of internalizing symptoms. This composite was formed from parent and teacher ratings of the Internalizing broadband factor from the Child Behavior Checklist (CBCL) and Teacher Report Form, respectively, (TRF) (Achenbach, 1991a,b) and the total score from the adolescent-reported Children’s Depression Inventory (CDI; Kovacs, 1992). Measures were combined or used individually when only one informant was available. Component measures were z-scored before we calculated summed composite scores. All instruments have excellent validity, test-retest reliability, and internal consistency. Correlations among the three-scales were small to moderate (r = .27-.36).

Externalizing symptoms. In parallel, we formed a continuous composite score of externalizing symptoms from parent and teacher ratings of the Externalizing broadband factor from the CBCL and TRF. Measures were summed or were used individually when only one informant was available. These two scales were moderately correlated (r = .52) and z-scored before calculating the composite scores. Psychometrics for these CBCL factors are also excellent.

Covariates
We included several important background variables as covariates: (a) Full Scale IQ at Wave 1, indexed by the Wechsler Intelligence Scale for Children, third edition (WISC-III; Wechsler, 1991), which has well-established psychometric properties; (b) race; (c) a composite of parent report of family income and maternal education at Wave 1 to indicate socioeconomic status (SES); (d) the presence versus absence of stimulant medications and of SSRIs/antidepressants during the year preceding the Wave 3 follow-up; and (e) age in years at the Wave 3 follow-up.

Data analytic plan. Statistical analyses were performed using SPSS for Mac (Version 19; SPSS, 2010). First, we calculated one-way analyses of variance (ANOVA) to assess group differences between ADHD subtypes and the comparison group, diagnosed at Wave 1, with respect to the Wave 3 NSSI variables (frequency, variety, and lethality) as well as reports of suicide attempts (the latter is a confirmation of findings reported in Hinshaw et al., 2012). We then performed analyses of covariation (ANOVAs) to address the potential effects of covariates. In both cases, Tukey post hoc tests were used to examine pairwise differences between subgroups (ADHD-C, ADHD-I, and comparison). Second, we conducted parallel ANOVAs to assess group differences among young women with a persistent ADHD diagnosis, young women with a transient ADHD diagnosis, and a lifetime nondiagnosed group. Third, for mediational analyses, we used a bootstrap procedure to investigate the effects of single and multiple mediators on the Wave 1 ADHD-Wave 3 NSSI and Wave 1 ADHD-Wave 3 suicide attempt links (Preacher & Hayes, 2008; Shrout & Bolger, 2002). The predictor was Wave 1 ADHD status; potential mediators were Wave 2 measures of impulsivity, response inhibition, and externalizing and/or internalizing behaviors; and the criterion measures of NSSI or suicide attempts were measured at Wave 3. The bootstrap procedure is a statistical simulation in which a new mathematical sample is created by randomly sampling observations from the original data with replacement. Next, a point estimate of the mediated effect (a-prime × b-prime) is determined for the sample and repeated 10,000 times, with all point estimates aggregated to arrive at an overall estimate of the effect. We formed 95% bias-corrected and accelerated confidence intervals based upon the distribution of these effects and inferred statistical significance if this interval did not contain 0 (see Preacher & Hayes, 2008; Shrout & Bolger, 2002). All models included the covariates noted above, which functioned as statistical controls of the mediator and outcome variable. We also conducted separate analyses using ordinary least squares (OLS) regression to ensure that the predictor-mediator and mediator-outcome pathways were in the hypothesized directions.

Results
Predicting self-harm from Wave 1 ADHD Status
Table 1 presents mean values of NSSI frequency, variety, and severity, plus the percentage of young women who reported at least one suicide attempt, for the two childhood-diagnosed ADHD subtypes (i.e., ADHD-C and ADHD-I) and the comparison group. The findings remained significant after controlling for age, race, IQ, SES, and medication treatment. Tukey post hoc comparisons revealed that young women with childhood-diagnosed ADHD-C reported more frequent NSSI than comparison girls (with a large effect size), but the two ADHD subtypes did not significantly differ. Young women with childhood-diagnosed ADHD-C used a wider variety of methods and engaged in more severe NSSI than those in the comparison and ADHD-I groups, who did not differ. Effect sizes (Cohen’s d) were small for NSSI variety and medium (or even large) for NSSI frequency and severity.

Prediction of self-harm from diagnostic persistence of ADHD over time
Table 2 presents differences for the three NSSI variables and reports of suicide attempts in young
women from the persistent ADHD, transient ADHD, and lifetime never-diagnosed groups. Young women with persistent ADHD had significantly higher reports of NSSI frequency, variety, and severity relative to those in the transient ADHD and lifetime comparison groups, who did not significantly differ on any variable. The persistent ADHD group had significantly higher reports of suicide attempts relative to the lifetime comparison group but did not differ from the transient ADHD group. Effect sizes (Cohen’s d) ranged from small to medium for the NSSI frequency variable and medium to large for the NSSI variety and NSSI severity variables. These findings withstood adjustment of all key covariates with the exception of NSSI severity, which lost significance in the ANCOVA. Consideration of individual covariates revealed that treatment with stimulant or SSRI/antidepressant medication in the past year contributed to the lack of group differences for NSSI severity. As for suicide attempts, the persistent ADHD group had a higher rate (22%) than the lifetime comparison group (4%), but did not significantly differ from the transient ADHD group (13%). The latter two groups did not differ significantly. The odds ratio for the persistent ADHD versus the lifetime comparison group was 6.7. These findings remained consistent after controlling for key covariates.

Mediation analyses

Bootstrap analyses were used to test the association between Wave 1 ADHD status-Wave 3 NSSI severity linkage and Wave 1 ADHD status-Wave 3 suicide attempts linkage with respect to candidate mediators measured at Wave 2. For each linkage, we examined six mediation models with the following candidate mediators: (a) SNAP impulsivity subscale; (b) CPT commission errors percentage; (c) CUL shape scores; (d) CUL consonant scores; (e) internalizing symptoms composite; and (f) externalizing symptoms composite. If more than one significant mediator emerged in the individual models, they were then entered simultaneously into more complex models.

ADHD-NSSI severity linkage. There was a significant and positive relation between Wave 1 ADHD status and Wave 3 NSSI severity scores, b = .28, standard error [SE] = 13, t(195) = 4.12, p < .001, \( R^2 = .08. \) The Wave 2 CUL consonant scores variable was a significant partial mediator of the relation between Wave 1 ADHD status and Wave 3 NSSI severity, indirect effect \( [IE] = .11, SE = .05, CL_{95} = .03-.25. \) Importantly, given concerns about demands on phonological awareness skills associated with the CUL consonant task (McGee et al.,
2000), this finding remained significant after controlling for childhood (Wave 1) reading disorder status (defined as presence vs. absence of Wechsler Individual Achievement Test reading score < 85; see Hinshaw, 2002). The Wave 2 externalizing symptoms composite was also a significant partial mediator of the relation between Wave 1 ADHD status and W3 NSSI severity scores, $\text{IE} = .19$, $\text{SE} = .09$, $\text{CI}_{95} = .02 - .38$. None of the other candidate mediators were significant (see Figure 1).

We then entered the CUL consonant and externalizing composite scores simultaneously into the model (see Figure 2). Both variables significantly mediated the relation between Wave 1 ADHD status and W3 NSSI severity scores, $\text{IE} = .29$, $\text{SE} = .11$, $\text{CI}_{95} = .10 - .51$ (see Figure 2). When we compared the model fit to determine whether the two-mediator model explained more variance than either of the single-mediator models, it did in each instance: $F$-ratio $= 5.14$, $F(1, 175) = 3.84$, $p < .05$ contrasting the two-mediator model with CUL consonant only; $F$-ratio $= 7.801$, $F(1, 175) = 3.84$, $p < .05$ contrasting the two-mediator model with externalizing composite only. In all, a lab-based measure of response inhibition, along with externalizing symptoms, both measured in adolescence, were simultaneous partial mediators of the ADHD-NSSI severity link.

**ADHD-suicide attempt linkage.** There was a significant positive relation between Wave 1 ADHD status and Wave 3 suicide attempts, $b = .28$, standard error $[\text{SE}] = 13$, $t(195) = 4.12$, $p < .001$, $R^2 = .08$. Wave 2 internalizing composite was a significant partial mediator, indirect effect $[\text{IE}] = .11$, $p < .05$. 
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*Figure 1 (top panel) The relation between Wave 1 ADHD diagnostic status and Wave 3 NSSI severity scores partially mediated by the Wave 2 Cancel Underline Consonant task. (bottom panel) The relation between Wave 1 ADHD diagnostic status and Wave 3 NSSI severity scores partially mediated by Wave 2 externalizing symptoms. (both panels) Data represent indirect effect and standard errors using 10,000 bootstrap samples to obtain bias-corrected and accelerated 95% confidence intervals.*
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*Figure 2 The relation between Wave 1 ADHD diagnostic status and Wave 3 NSSI severity scores mediated by the Wave 2 Cancel Underline Consonant task and Wave 2 externalizing symptoms. Data represent indirect effect and standard errors using 10,000 bootstrap samples to obtain bias-corrected and accelerated 95% confidence intervals.*
Figure 3 The relation between Wave 1 ADHD diagnostic status and Wave 3 suicide attempts mediated by Wave 2 internalizing symptoms. Data represent indirect effect and standard errors using 10,000 bootstrap samples to obtain bias-corrected and accelerated 95% confidence intervals

SE = .05, Cl_{95} = .03-.25. None of the other candidate mediators attained significance (see Figure 3).

Discussion
In this examination of NSSI and suicide attempts, we greatly expanded on the preliminary findings reported by Hinshaw et al. (2012) of high rates in girls diagnosed with ADHD-C during childhood. Specifically, we examined NSSI frequency, number of NSSI methods tried, and NSSI severity; we also investigated whether the NSSI indicators and the suicide attempts variable were linked with ADHD diagnostic persistence from childhood through young adulthood. Crucially, we aimed to elucidate the pathways between ADHD and self-harming behaviors by examining theoretically driven candidate mediator processes measured during adolescence: comorbid psychopathology and impulsivity.

Key findings are as follows: (a) Young women with childhood-diagnosed ADHD-C engaged in the most severe forms of NSSI and experimented with the widest variety of methods, relative to the childhood-diagnosed ADHD-I and comparison groups. Both ADHD subtypes engaged in more frequent NSSI than the comparison group but did not significantly differ from each other. All of these findings survived stringent statistical control of key covariates. (b) Young women with a persistent ADHD diagnosis (i.e., Wave 1 and Wave 3) reported greater NSSI frequency, used a wider variety of NSSI methods, and engaged in more severe NSSI than those with transient ADHD and the comparison group, which did not differ significantly. Young women with persistent ADHD also had a higher rate of suicide attempts than the lifetime comparison group but did not significantly differ from the transient ADHD group. Nearly all findings survived control of key covariates, except for NSSI severity, for which recent medication treatment contributed to the loss of group differences this variable. (c) Externalizing symptoms and a lab-based measure of response inhibition/impulsivity ascertained during adolescence emerged as significant partial mediators of the ADHD-NSSI severity link; internalizing symptoms during adolescence emerged as a significant partial mediator of the ADHD-suicide attempt linkage.

Our findings are consistent with those of Chronis-Tuscano et al. (2010), who found that children (particularly girls) with ADHD-C and ADHD-HI, but not ADHD-I, were at increased risk for adolescent suicide attempts. HI is clearly implicated in the path from childhood ADHD to young-adult self-harm. Persistence of ADHD between childhood and adulthood was associated with the most dangerous pattern of self-harming behaviors, relative to transient ADHD and the lifetime comparison group. This finding is consistent with the perspective of Cicchetti and Rogosch (2002) regarding cascading chains of difficulties across development. Individuals with persistent ADHD-related impairments appear to be at risk for increased difficulty beyond adolescence.

We presented novel mediation analyses of pathways from childhood (Wave 1) ADHD status and young-adult (Wave 3) reports of NSSI severity or suicide attempt, via adolescent (Wave 2) variables. NSSI was moderately associated with suicide attempts ($r = .51$), yet different symptoms (internalizing vs. externalizing) mediated the two pathways. It is noteworthy that concurrent externalizing and internalizing diagnoses may be more strongly associated with suicide attempts and NSSI than either alone (Goldston et al., 2009; Nock et al., 2006). Sample size limitations may have precluded our attempt to find mediation via concurrent internalizing and externalizing symptoms.1

The pattern of findings regarding the mediating role of impulsivity/response inhibition was intriguing. First, none of the impulsivity measures mediated the ADHD-suicide attempt linkage, and only one lab-based measure of impulsivity emerged as a significant partial mediator of the ADHD-NSSI severity link. Although McCloskey, Look, Chen, Pajoumand, and Berman (2012) found that individuals who engage in NSSI did not show higher laboratory impulsivity than comparisons, we found a significant mediational effect of a lab measure. The CUL-consonant task may tap a particular aspect of impulsivity linked to NSSI (i.e., response inhibition). It also
requires scanning multiple letters in a sequence versus one shape at a time, requiring greater effort than CUL-shapes. Importantly, the mediator effect withstood adding the covariate of reading disorder status. Finally, we note that the three impulsivity items from the SNAP, directly tapping the three impulsivity items in the DSM criteria for ADHD, do not appear to cover this broad, multidimensional construct.

Our investigation should be viewed in the context of limitations, many of which provide important launching points for future research efforts. First, it is unclear whether these findings generalize to males with ADHD, for whom self-harming profiles and key mediating factors are not well known. Second, in terms of associations between ADHD persistence and self-harming behaviors, we lacked adequate power to investigate persistence of the individual subtypes (i.e., ADHD-C and ADHD-I). Future research efforts may also consider utilizing other definitions of persistence. Indeed, Barkley, Fischer, Smallish, and Fletcher (2002), Barkley et al. (2008) suggest strongly that the prognosis of ADHD depends largely on the definition of persistence (e.g., diagnostic, symptomatic, persistence of functional impairment); even subsyndromal forms of ADHD are associated with significant impairment (e.g., Farace et al., 2006).

Limitations in measurement frequency limited our analytic options and explanatory scope. For example, the only time point at which we inquired about NSSI and suicidal behavior was Wave 3, when we asked about lifetime occurrence of the behaviors in question. We were therefore unable to provide crucial information about rates and hazard of these behaviors or establish temporal associations between ADHD and self-harmful behaviors. We assume that our adolescent mediators preceded the occurrence of these outcomes, but we cannot be absolutely certain. Moreover, the SIQ covered a somewhat narrow range of NSSI behaviors. At the next wave of data collection, we plan to administer the Self-Injurious Thoughts and Behaviors Interview (SITBI), developed by Nock, Holmberg, Photos, and Michel (2007), comprising a comprehensive structured interview that queries a wider range of self-injurious thoughts and behaviors. We also plan to include a broader assessment of impulsivity, including self-report questionnaires, lab-based performance tests, and personality measures. See, for example, the UPPS scale of Whiteside and Lynam (2001), which assesses urgency, lack of perseverance, lack of premeditation, and sensation-seeking.

Crucially, there are many known risk factors for self-harming behaviors. In particular, peer victimization may be a particularly salient risk factor for females with ADHD, who have elevated rates of both overt and relational peer victimization relative to comparison peers (Cardoza & Hinshaw, 2011; Hinshaw, 2002; Wiener & Mak, 2009). Multiple lines of research suggest that overt and relational peer victimization is associated with long-term global maladjustment in children and adolescents (Cullerton-Sen & Crick, 2005; Ladd & Ladd, 2001), including NSSI and suicide attempts (e.g., Hilt et al., 2008; Klomek, Marrocco, Kleinman, Schonfeld, & Gould, 2008). It will also be useful to explore protective factors that may decrease the probability of NSSI and suicide attempts in young women with ADHD. For example, religious beliefs/practices and spirituality are associated with fewer suicide attempts, as are perceptions of social and family support and connectedness (Nock et al., 2008).

Overall, our findings underscore the importance of thorough and frequent monitoring of self-harmful behaviors among girls and young women with ADHD, particularly those with ADHD-C and those with persistent diagnostic profiles. Evidence-based treatment to reduce high levels of impulsivity and comorbid symptoms may help to reduce risk. Given the devastating nature of self-harmful behavior, further work in both basic science and clinical science is urgently needed.
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Key Points

- Girls with a history of ADHD show continued impairments through young adulthood, and some of these may surpass those of boys with such a history.
- Across a 10-year prospective interval from childhood through early adulthood, girls with ADHD-Combined type (featuring prominent symptoms of impulsivity) were at strikingly high risk for self-harm – including (a) frequency, severity and variety of non-suicidal self-injurious behavior (NSSI) and (b) suicide attempts. Participants with persistent ADHD were at higher risk for such behaviors than those with transient ADHD.
- Adolescent factors mediating the childhood ADHD to young adult self-harm linkages were as follows: (a) for NSSI, externalizing behavior and an objective measure of poor response inhibition and (b) for suicide attempts, internalizing behavior.
- Further understanding of life-course pathways for girls with severe impulse control problems is a key priority for basic and applied research efforts.

Note

1. For the ADHD-NSSI severity linkage, a sample size of 445 would be required to detect a significant mediated effect with a power of .8 (Fritz & MacKinnon, 2007). Thus, power issues preclude a definitive statement of whether internalizing symptoms may indeed be an additional mediator of the ADHD-NSSI severity link.
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